



Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

pletely destroyed by fire. The life tenant had an insurance policy on the building covering her interest therein. *Held*, that the life tenant was not obliged to rebuild and that the remainder man could recover no part of the insurance money—nor was the life tenant liable for waste. The decision gives an exhaustive history of liability for waste in like cases.

MARRIED WOMEN'S CONTRACTS—PRESUMPTIONS—*WARNER v. HESS*, 49 S. W. 489 (Ark.).—Under the Arkansas statutes, which permit a married woman to contract with reference to her services her separate estate and separate business carried on by her, a contract made by her is *prima facie* invalid. Riddick, J., dissenting.

MUNICIPAL CORPORATIONS—ANNEXATION OF TERRITORY—*GIBSON v. WOOD*, 49 S. W. 768 (Ky.).—The charter of the city of Louisville provides that "no person shall be eligible to any office who is not at the time of his election a qualified voter of the city, and who has not resided therein three years preceding his election." *Held*, that one who has resided in one place for three years, which place some two months before the election became a part of the city, was eligible for an office under the Louisville charter, though up to that time his place of residence had not been a part of the city.

MUNICIPAL CORPORATIONS—INDEBTEDNESS—LIMIT—*CITY OF CHICAGO v. McDONALD*, 52 N. E. Rep. 982 (Ill.).—Constitution, 1870, Article 9, § 12, prohibited a city from becoming indebted, in any manner or for any purpose, to an amount exceeding five per cent. of the taxable value of its property. *Held*, that in determining this indebtedness the cash in the city treasury and uncollected taxes should not be deducted. Cartwright and Phillips, J. J., dissenting.

ORDINANCES—REASONABLENESS—COVERING FOR FRUIT—*FROST v. CITY OF CHICAGO*, 52 N. E. Rep. 869 (Ill.).—An ordinance provided: "It shall be and is hereby made unlawful to cover any box, basket, or any other package or parcel of fruit, berries or vegetables of any kind, with any colored netting, or any other material which has a tendency to conceal the true color or quality of any such goods which may be sold, offered for sale or had in possession for the purpose of being sold or offered for sale." *Held*, void as an unreasonable interference with and restriction upon the rights of dealers in certain articles of trade and commerce.

PATENTS—PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION—*HORN & BRANNEN CO. v. PELZER*, 91 Fed. 665.—Appellee took out a patent in 1882. In 1891 this patent was adversely ruled upon, and in 1894 he surrendered the patent and applied for a re-issue, which was granted in 1895. Meanwhile various patents were issued, among which was one which covered the manufacture of the appellant. The Circuit Court of Appeals in the second circuit held the re-issued patent valid. *Held*, on a consideration of the facts as above and of the patent, that though the general rule is a preliminary injunction against infringement will be granted where the patent in question has been adjudged valid in another circuit, that it would be refused here. Butler, D. J., dissented.

POLICE POWER—SCHOOLS—COMPULSORY VACCINATION OF PUPILS—*PEOPLE EX REL. LABAUGH v. BOARD OF EDUCATION*, 52 N. E. Rep. 850 (Ill.).—A rule adopted by the State Board of Health compelling the vaccination of